

Applicant : Smedley et al.
Appl. No. : 10/595,451
Examiner : Emily P. Oham
Docket No. : 703538.4058

REMARKS

The examiner objected to Figures 1A-4B for not being designated by a legend such as —Prior Art—. Applicants have amended Figs. 1A-4B to include the legend.

The examiner objected to claims 4 and 39 due to certain informalities. Applicants have cancelled claims 4 and 39 along with claims 1-3, 5-14, 24-38, and 40-48. The examiner's objection is moot as a result.

The examiner rejected claims 1-14 and 24-48 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103, and indicated that claims 15-23 are allowable. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-14 and 24-48.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that claims 15-23 are in condition for allowance. Should minor matters remain, Applicants request the examiner contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Dated: July 1, 2007

By:



Kenneth S. Roberts
Reg. No. 38,283

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600
Irvine, CA 92614
949/567-6700 Telephone